

Submitted to Astron. J.

A Near-Infrared Multiplicity Survey of Class I/Flat-Spectrum Systems in Six Nearby Molecular Clouds

Karl E. Haisch Jr.^{1,2,3}

NASA Ames Research Center, Mail Stop 245-6, Moffett Field, California 94035-1000,
khaisch@mail.arc.nasa.gov

and

Thomas P. Greene²

NASA Ames Research Center, Mail Stop 245-6, Moffett Field, California 94035-1000,
tgreene@mail.arc.nasa.gov

and

Mary Barsony^{4,5}

NASA Ames Research Center, Mail Stop 245-6, Moffett Field, California 94035-1000,
mbarsony@stars.sfsu.edu

and

Steven W. Stahler

Astronomy Department, University of California, Berkeley, 601 Campbell Hall, Berkeley,
California 94720-3411, sstahler@astro.berkeley.edu

ABSTRACT

We present new near-IR observations of 76 Class I/flat-spectrum objects in the nearby ($d \lesssim 320$ pc) Perseus, Taurus, Chamaeleon I and II, ρ Ophiuchi, and Serpens

¹Current Address: Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, 830 Dennison Bldg., Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1090

²Visiting Astronomer at the Infrared Telescope Facility which is operated by the University of Hawaii under contract to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

³Visiting Astronomer, Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, National Optical Astronomy Observatories, operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA), Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

⁴Space Science Institute, 4750 Walnut Street, Suite 205, Boulder, CO 80301

⁵NASA Faculty Fellow

dark clouds. These observations are part of a larger systematic infrared multiplicity survey of self-embedded objects in the nearest dark clouds. When combined with the results of our previously published near-infrared multiplicity survey, we find a *restricted* companion star fraction of 14/79 ($18\% \pm 4\%$) of the sources surveyed to be binary or higher order multiple systems over a separation range of $\sim 300 - 2000$ AU with a magnitude difference $\Delta K \leq 4$, and with no correction for background contamination or completeness. This is consistent with the fraction of binary/multiple systems found among older pre-main-sequence T Tauri stars in each of the Taurus, ρ Ophiuchi, and Chamaeleon star-forming regions over a similar separation range, as well as the combined companion star fraction for these regions. However, the companion star fraction for solar-type, and lower mass M dwarf, main-sequence stars in the solar neighborhood in this separation range ($11\% \pm 3\%$) is approximately one-half that of our sample. Together with multiplicity statistics derived for previously published samples of Class 0 and Class I sources, our study suggests that a significant number of binary/multiple objects may remain to be discovered at smaller separations among our Class I/flat-spectrum sample and/or most of the evolution of binary/multiple systems occurs during the Class 0 phase of early stellar evolution.

Subject headings: binaries: close — stars: formation — stars: pre-main-sequence

1. Introduction

Traditional multiplicity search techniques such as direct imaging and spectroscopy have revealed that most field stars are members of binary or higher order multiple systems (Abt & Levy 1976; Duquennoy & Mayor 1991; Fischer & Marcy 1992). Infrared surveys of younger pre-main-sequence (PMS) T Tauri stars (TTs) in nearby, young dark cloud complexes (i.e., Taurus, Ophiuchus, Chamaeleon, Lupus, Corona Australis) conducted over the past ten years have shown that the fraction of binary and multiple stars is even higher in these regions (Ghez, Neugebauer, & Matthews 1993; Mathieu 1994; Simon et al. 1995; Ghez et al. 1997; Barsony, Koresko, & Matthews 2003). In contrast, the results of multiplicity studies of the young Trapezium and NGC 2024 clusters in Orion are consistent with what one finds for field stars (McCaughrean & Stauffer 1994; Prosser et al. 1994; Petr et al. 1998; Simon, Close, & Beck 1999; Beck, Simon, & Close 2003).

Each of the above studies samples a different range of separations and companion brightness, making subsequent intercomparison difficult. However, using a model which accounts for differences in sample completeness, dynamic range, and chance projection of background objects, Duchêne (1999) has reanalyzed and confirmed the previous findings. Thus, the formation of binary and multiple systems appears to be the rule, rather than the exception, in star-forming regions.

However, very little is currently known about the multiplicity of even younger, self-embedded young stellar objects (YSOs). Recently, Reipurth (2000) analyzed the multiplicity of 14 young (ages $\leq 10^5$ yr) sources which drive giant Herbig-Haro flows. Between 79% (separation = $\sim 10 - 3700$ AU) and 86% (separation = $\sim 10 - 5000$ AU) of these sources had at least one companion and, of these, half were higher order multiple systems. In addition, in a millimeter survey of young embedded objects, Looney, Mundy, & Welch (2000) find a very high multiplicity fraction. All surveyed objects were members of small groups or binary systems. These fractions are even larger than those found among either the PMS TTS or field star populations. In contrast, a significantly lower frequency ($36\% \pm 14\%$; separation = $\sim 300 - 2000$ AU) of binary/multiple stars was observed in a sample of 19 Class I and flat-spectrum YSOs in the ρ Ophiuchi and Serpens dark clouds (Haisch et al. 2002). One needs to know with reasonable statistical confidence the multiplicity properties of embedded Class I/flat-spectrum sources, which previous surveys do not provide.

In this paper, we present the results of a new near-infrared imaging survey of 76 self-embedded young stars in the ρ Ophiuchus ($d = 125$ pc; Knude & Hog 1998), Serpens ($d = 310$ pc; de Lara, Chavarria-K., & López-Molina 1991), Taurus ($d = 140$ pc; Kenyon & Hartmann 1995), Perseus ($d = 320$ pc; Herbig 1998), and Chamaeleon I and II ($d = 160$ pc and $d = 178$ pc respectively; Whittet et al. 1997) star-forming regions. All of the sources in our survey were selected such that they have either Class I or flat spectral energy distributions as determined from previous studies using IRAS, ISO, and ground-based data (e.g., Wilking, Lada, & Young 1989; Prusti, Whittet, & Wesselius 1992; Ladd, Lada, & Myers 1993; Greene et al. 1994; Kenyon & Hartmann 1995; Persi et al. 2000; Bontemps et al. 2001; Kaas 2001). In defining Class I/flat-spectrum YSOs, the classification scheme of Greene et al. (1994) has been adopted as it is believed to correspond well to the physical stages of evolution of YSOs (e.g. André & Montmerle 1994). Thus, Class I sources have a spectral index $[\alpha = \text{dlog}(\lambda F_\lambda)/\text{dlog}(\lambda)] > 0.3$, and flat-spectrum sources have $0.3 > \alpha \geq -0.3$ in the wavelength range $2 \mu\text{m} \leq \lambda \leq 10 \mu\text{m}$. Combined with the results of our previously published Class I/flat-spectrum multiplicity survey (Haisch et al. 2002), our sample includes 9/21 published known Class I/flat-spectrum sources in Perseus, 11/21 in Taurus, and all known Class I/flat-spectrum YSOs in ρ Ophiuchi, Serpens, and Chamaeleon I and II.

We discuss our near-IR observations and data reduction procedures in §2. In §3, we present the results of our survey, and discuss the results in §4. We summarize our primary results in §5.

2. Observations and Data Reduction

2.1. OSIRIS-NSFCAM Observations

All near-infrared observations were obtained using two separate facilities. The near-IR $JHKL$ -band ($1.25, 1.65, 2.2$, and $3.5 \mu\text{m}$) observations of all Taurus, Perseus, and Serpens (and follow-up L -band data for ρ Ophiuchi) Class I/flat-spectrum sources were obtained with the

NSFCAM 256×256 pixel InSb facility array camera on the NASA IRTF 3 m telescope on Mauna Kea, Hawaii (Rayner et al. 1993; Shure et al. 1994). Similar *JHK* observations of all Chamaeleon I, II and ρ Ophiuchi sources were taken with OSIRIS, the Ohio State 1024×1024 pixel HAWAII HgCdTe infrared imager/spectrometer array on the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO) 4 m telescope (Atwood et al. 1992; Depoy et al. 1993).

The *J*, *H*, and *K*-band observations of the Chamaeleon I, II, and ρ Ophiuchi sources were made during the period 2002 Feb 28 - Mar 3. For sources found to be single, only *K*-band data were obtained. The plate scale of OSIRIS on the CTIO 4 m telescope is $0''.161 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ with a corresponding field of view of approximately $93'' \times 93''$. The average FWHM for all observations was $\sim 0''.6$. Each source was observed in a five point dither pattern (a 2×2 square with a point at the center) with $12''$ offsets between the corners of the square. Typical total integration times ranged from 1 - 5 minutes at *J* and *H*-bands, and 2 to 3 minutes at *K*-band. These integration times yield 5σ sensitivity limits, good to within 0.2 magnitudes, of ~ 20.0 - 20.7 magnitudes at *J*-band, ~ 19.0 - 19.7 magnitudes at *H*-band, and ~ 18.5 at *K*-band.

Similar *J*, *H*, *K*, and *L*-band observations of all Taurus, Perseus, and Serpens sources (as well as *L*-band observations of all ρ Ophiuchi sources for which *JHK* data were obtained at CTIO as described above) were made during the periods 2001 Dec 08 - 10, 2002 Jan 03 - 05, and 2002 Jun 13 - 15 using NSFCAM at the NASA IRTF 3 m telescope. Again, for single sources, only *K*-band data were taken. For this study, we used a plate scale of $0''.148 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ with a corresponding field of view of approximately $38'' \times 38''$. The average FWHM for all observations was $\sim 1''$. Each source was observed in a five point dither pattern (a 2×2 square with a point at the center) with $12''$ offsets between the corners of the square. At each dither position, the telescope was nodded to separate sky positions $30''$ north of each target observation. Typical total integration times ranged from 5 to 10 minutes at *J*-band, 1 - 2 minutes at *H* and *K*-bands, and 60 seconds at *L*-band. These integration times yield 5σ sensitivity limits, good to within 0.2 magnitudes, of ~ 20.5 - 21.0 magnitudes at *J*-band, ~ 19.0 - 19.3 magnitudes at *H*-band, ~ 18.5 - 19.0 and *K*-band, and ~ 14.0 at *L*-band.

All *JHKL* data were reduced using the Image Reduction and Analysis Facility (IRAF)⁵. The individual sky frames were normalized to produce flat fields for each target frame. All target frames were processed by subtracting the appropriate sky frames and dividing by the flat fields. Finally all target frames were registered and combined to produce the final images of each object in each filter.

⁵IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

2.2. Source Photometry and Calibration

Aperture photometry was performed using the PHOT routine within IRAF. An aperture of 4 pixels in radius was used for all target photometry, and a 10 pixel radius was used for the standard star photometry. Sky values around each source were determined from the mode of intensities in an annulus with inner and outer radii of 10 and 20 pixels, respectively. Our choice of aperture size for our target photometry insured that the individual source fluxes were not contaminated by the flux from companion stars, however they are not large enough to include all the flux from a given source. In order to account for this missing flux, aperture corrections were determined using the MKAPFILE routine within IRAF. Aperture photometry was performed on all target sources using the same 10 pixel aperture used for the photometry of the standard stars. Fluxes in both the 10 pixel and 4 pixel apertures were compared, and the instrumental magnitudes for all sources were corrected to account for the missing flux.

Photometric calibration was accomplished using the list of standard stars of Elias et al. (1982) for all IRTF data, and the HST/NICMOS infrared standard stars of Persson et al. (1998) for the CTIO observations. The standards were observed on the same nights and through the same range of airmasses as the target sources. Zero points and extinction coefficients were established for each night. All NSFCAM magnitudes and colors were transformed to the CIT system using Mauna Kea to NSFCAM and NSFCAM to CIT photometric color transformation equations from <http://irtf.ifa.hawaii.edu/Facility/nsfcam/mkfilters.html>, <http://irtf.ifa.hawaii.edu/Facility/nsfcam/hist/color.html> and the NSFCAM User’s Guide. Following the expectations discussed in the OSIRIS User’s Manual, we transformed all OSIRIS magnitudes and colors to the CIT system using the Cerro Tololo Infrared Imager (CIRIM) to CIT transformation equations from the CIRIM Manual. The photometric uncertainty for all observations is typically good to within ± 0.10 , 0.04 , 0.02 , and 0.06 magnitudes at J , H , K , and L -band respectively.

3. Analysis and Results

The companion star fraction (CSF) is defined as:

$$CSF = \frac{B + 2T + 3Q}{S + B + T + Q} \quad (1)$$

where S is the number of single stars, B is the number of binary systems, T , the number of triple systems, and Q , the number of quadruple systems. In Table 1, we summarize, for each region surveyed, the minimum projected separation to which our observations are sensitive, the number of sources observed, the number of sources found to be binary/multiple, and the CSFs. The quoted uncertainties in the CSFs represent the statistical standard errors (i.e., $\sqrt{[CSF(1-CSF)]/N}$). Our lower limit for detectable separations of 100 – 140 AU for Taurus, Chamaeleon, and ρ

Ophiuchi and ~ 300 AU for Perseus and Serpens is set by the seeing ($\sim 0''.6$ at CTIO and $\sim 1''$ at the IRTF). We have imposed an upper limit to the separations of 2000 AU (corresponding to $\lesssim 6''.3$ in Perseus and Serpens, $\lesssim 11''$ in Chamaeleon, $\lesssim 14''$ in Taurus, and $\lesssim 16''$ in ρ Ophiuchi) in order to avoid including sources which are not gravitationally bound systems (e.g., Reipurth & Zinnecker 1993; Simon et al. 1995). Within the errors, the CSFs are the same for each region, with the exception of Taurus, in which no binary/multiple objects were detected among the surveyed objects. However, it remains possible that the Perseus and Chamaeleon regions may have somewhat lower CSFs ($\sim 10\% - 20\%$) than those found in Serpens and ρ Ophiuchi ($\sim 25 - 35\%$), although this is only marginally significant given the large error bars on the calculated CSFs.

The CSFs quoted in Table 1 assume that there are no restrictions on either separations between companions or magnitude differences between components, an assumption that is clearly unattainable in practice. The quantity that one can measure is a *restricted* CSF, that is the CSF over a given physical separation range to a stated component K -magnitude difference (ΔK). In calculating the overall restricted CSF for our sample, we restrict the physical separation range to 300 – 2000 AU, since 300 AU corresponds to our lower limit for detectable separations in Perseus and Serpens. In addition, sensitivity calculations from our data indicate that we can detect a $K = 4$ magnitude difference between the primary and companion at a separation of $1''$ at the 5σ confidence level. Thus, we restrict our analysis to component magnitude differences $\Delta K \leq 4$ mag.

In combination with our previously published results for ρ Ophiuchi and Serpens (in which we find a restricted CSF of 5/13; $38\% \pm 13\%$ over a separation range of 300 – 2000 AU), we find a *restricted* CSF of 14/79 ($18\% \pm 4\%$) for separations between $\sim 300 - 2000$ AU and $\Delta K = 4$ mag, with no correction for background contamination or completeness. In the calculation of our restricted CSF, the sources WL 1 and EC 82/EC 86 are excluded since their separations (103 AU and ~ 2700 AU respectively) are outside of our restricted separation range. Furthermore, the sources IRS 54, GY 51, EC 129, GY 91, WL 22, GY 197, EC 121, EC 40, EC 37, EC 28, and DEOS are not included in the calculation of the overall restricted CSF as their component ΔK values are either not within $\Delta K \leq 4$, or any potential companions would be fainter than our 5σ sensitivity limit.

Table 2 lists the separations (in both arcsec and AU) and position angles (measured with respect to the brightest source at K -band) for the sources in our survey which were found to be binary/multiple. In Tables 3 – 8, we present the Right Ascension and Declination coordinates (J2000), K -band magnitudes, or in the case of the multiple objects in Table 3, $JHKL$ magnitudes and near-IR colors, for all surveyed sources (the exception being in Chamaeleon, where L -band data were not taken).

None of the sources in the Ced110 IRS6, HB 1, and GCNM 53 systems were detected at J -band, and neither component in the HB 1 and GCNM 53 systems were detected at H -band. This was not likely due to the smearing out of faint sources in these relatively long (90 second) exposures, since this effect was not observed in other equally long J and H -band images in which

faint sources were detected. Furthermore, the mean object sizes were the same in all bands. Upper limits for the J and H -band magnitudes for these sources were determined by adding artificial stars to the respective J and H -band images, and counting the number of sources recovered by DAOFIND. Artificial stars were added at random positions to each image in twenty separate half magnitude bins with each bin containing one hundred stars. The twenty bins covered a magnitude range from 15.0 to 25.0. The artificial stars were examined to ensure that they had a similar FWHM of the point-spread function as the sources detected in other J and H -band images. Aperture photometry was performed on all sources to confirm that the assigned magnitudes of the added sources agreed with those returned by PHOT. All photometry agreed to within 0.1 magnitudes. DAOFIND and PHOT were then run and the number of identified artificial sources within each half magnitude bin was tallied. This process was repeated 20 times. For Ced 110 IRS6, HB 1, and GCNM 53, our 5σ J -band magnitude limit, good to within ± 0.2 magnitudes, is 20.0. Similarly, our 5σ H -band magnitude limit is 19.0. We list our 5σ J and H -band limits in Table 3 where appropriate.

4. Discussion

4.1. Multiplicity Characteristics

For the Class I/flat-spectrum sources surveyed, we find a *restricted* CSF of 14/79 ($18\% \pm 4\%$). This is consistent with the restricted CSFs derived for PMS T Tauri stars in each of the Taurus, ρ Ophiuchi, and Chamaeleon star-forming regions over a separation range of $\sim 300 - 1800$ AU (Leinert et al. 1993; Simon et al. 1995; Ghez et al. 1997; Allen et al. 2002; Barsony, Koresko, & Matthews 2003), as well as the combined restricted CSF ($19\% \pm 3\%$) for these regions. In contrast, however, the CSF for solar-type, and lower mass M dwarf, main-sequence stars in the solar neighborhood in this separation range ($11\% \pm 3\%$; Duquennoy & Mayor 1991; Fischer & Marcy 1992) is approximately one-half that of our sample.

Reipurth (2000) found that $79\% - 86\%$ of young (ages $\leq 10^5$ yr) stellar objects driving giant Herbig-Haro flows were multiple, with half being triple or even higher-order systems. This CSF is considerably higher than the restricted CSF found in the present study. However, the Reipurth work was based on adaptive optics, HST NICMOS, and VLA data, all having higher spatial resolution than our present Class I/flat-spectrum study. We have determined that we would have detected 4 of these sources as binaries (no triples) given the spatial resolution, dynamic range, and physical separation limits of our present survey. This results in a restricted CSF = $29\% \pm 12\%$, statistically identical to the restricted CSF of our Class I/flat-spectrum sample.

In a $\lambda = 2.7$ mm interferometric survey of 24 YSOs, Looney, Mundy, & Welch (2000) found that all of the embedded objects were members of small groups or binary systems. All but four of these objects are Class 0 or Class I sources. Together with the multiplicity statistics found among older T Tauri and main sequence stars, our study suggests that a significant number of

binary/multiple objects may remain to be discovered at smaller separations among our Class I/flat-spectrum YSOs and/or most of the evolution of binary/multiple systems occurs during the Class 0 phase of early stellar evolution.

4.2. Notes on the Multiple Sources

03260+3111 — This source forms a wide binary system with a $3''.62$ separation at P.A. $\simeq 48^\circ$. Clark (1991) determined fluxes in all four Infrared Astronomical Satellite (IRAS) bands for 03260+3111, although it was noted that several sources were present in the IRAS images. Subsequently, Ladd, Lada, & Myers (1993) obtained *H* and *K*-band photometry of this source and determined a total luminosity of $L = 318 L_\odot$. Based on an optical and near-infrared imaging survey, Magnier et al. (1999) have classified 03260+3111 as a transitional YSO (i.e., flat-spectrum), consistent with the near-infrared colors derived in the present survey.

ChaI T33 — Also known as Glass 1, ChaI T33 (catalog designation from Whittet et al. 1987) was first found to exhibit a strong near-infrared excess by Glass (1979). Chelli et al. (1988) identified ChaI T33 as a binary source (separation = $2''.67$; P.A. 285°) for the first time using near-infrared narrow slit scan observations. Most of the total system luminosity ($L \simeq 5 L_\odot$) was found to be associated with the very red companion, while the optically dominant primary is a non-emission line K4 star. Since the companion is much brighter in the near-infrared than the primary, the IRAS fluxes of T33 have been assigned to this component (Prusti, Whittet, & Wesselius 1992). The binarity of ChaI T33 has also been noted by Feigelson & Kriss (1989) and Reipurth & Zinnecker (1993). The former authors have found ChaI T33 to be an Einstein X-ray source (CHX 12), with the red companion being a weak-line $H\alpha$ spectral type G5 star. Model fits to the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of both components by Koresko, Herbst, & Leinert (1997) suggest that the primary is consistent with its classification as a “naked” T Tauri star by Feigelson & Kriss (1989) with an implied mass of $M = 1 M_\odot$ and an age of 3×10^6 yr. The observed spectral type of the companion was also found to be consistent with that derived from the SED modeling. Finally, ISOPHOT spectra suggest a strong mid-infrared variability of ChaI T33 (Gürtler et al. 1999), already suggested by a variability flag in the IRAS fluxes of this object. Comparably strong near-infrared variability was also noted previously by Chelli et al. (1988), who concluded that the primary is the variable component. The near-infrared variability of T33 has also been noted more recently by Carpenter et al. (2002). The ISOPHOT spectra of Gürtler et al. (1999) suggest that the primary may also be variable at mid-infrared wavelengths or that the infrared companion is a variable also.

Ced110 IRS6 — Detected as an embedded IRAS source by Prusti et al. (1991), Ced110 IRS6 is located in the direction of a dense molecular core discovered by Mattila, Liljeström, & Toriseva (1989) at the edge of the Cederblad 110 optical reflection nebula. Using IRAS fluxes in conjunction with near-infrared photometry, Prusti et al. (1991) have classified IRS6 as a Class I YSO with a luminosity of $L = 1 L_\odot$. Ced110 IRS6 was detected as an X-ray source with $L_X \simeq$

10^{29-30} ergs s $^{-1}$ in archived ROSAT PSPC pointed observations (Carkner, Kozak, & Feigelson 1998), and is thus one of the lowest X-ray luminosity Class I objects yet detected. Ced110 IRS6 has also been detected as an unresolved mid-infrared excess source in ISOCAM images of the Ced110 dense core (Persi et al. 2000). More recently, sub-arcsecond near-infrared images of IRS6 have revealed the binarity of this object (Persi et al. 2001). In contrast to the previous system luminosity determination of Prusti et al. (1991), the primary component is found to have a luminosity of $L = 6 L_{\odot}$, with the secondary source having a luminosity about a factor of 7 lower, although with similar $H - K$ colors. This suggests that most of the observed mid- and far-infrared ISOCAM and ISOPHOT (Lehtinen et al. 2001) flux densities are associated with the primary component of Ced110 IRS6.

ChaI T14a — ChaI T14a is also known as Herbig-Haro (HH) 48. The binary nature of this object was suggested initially by Schwartz (1977), who noted that HH 48 consisted of two close condensations, the northeast component being the fainter. Indeed, our resolved (separation = $2''.35$; P.A. = 52°) near-infrared photometry of ChaI T14a confirms that the secondary (northeast) source is the fainter component of the binary. A subsequent spectrum of ChaI T14a implied that it is a T Tauri star with relatively strong forbidden emission lines (Schwartz, Jones, & Sirk 1984). ChaI T14a has been detected at both mid- and far-infrared wavelengths by ISOCAM and IRAS respectively (Prusti, Whittet, & Wesselius 1992; Persi et al. 2001), and exhibits a flat-spectrum composite SED.

IRS 48 — IRS 48 forms a wide ($\sim 15''$) binary with IRS 50. IRS 48 has been classified as a Class I YSO (Wiling, Lada, & Young 1989; hereafter WLY), although recent ISOCAM observations suggest a flat-spectrum object (Bontemps et al. 2001). IRS 50 remains unclassified, however our *JHKL* imaging data suggest infrared colors consistent with a Class II YSO. IRS 48 and IRS 50 exhibit very different spectral types of <F3 and M4 respectively (Luhman & Rieke 1999). IRS 48 has been detected at 1.3 mm (André & Montmerle 1994), and is also a CO outflow driving source exhibiting a bipolar morphology and high-velocity wings (Bontemps et al. 1996).

IRS 54 — We find IRS 54 to be a binary source with a separation of $\simeq 7''.3$ at a position angle of 324° . IRS 54 itself is a Class I YSO (WLY; Bontemps et al. 2001), while the companion has *JHKL* colors indicative of a heavily reddened ($A_v > 25$) Class III or background object. IRS 54 was detected as a ROSAT x-ray source with $L_x = 10^{30.7}$ erg s $^{-1}$ (Casanova et al. 1995), however subsequent ROSAT PSPC (Carkner, Kozak, & Feigelson 1998) and Chandra x-ray (Imanishi, Koyama, & Tsuboi 2001) observations resulted in non-detections.

GY 51 — GY 51 was first discovered to be a binary object with a separation of $1''.15$ (very similar to our derived separation of $1''.16$) by Strom, Kepner, & Strom (1995). We find GY 51 to be in fact a triple system with a separation of $5''.66$ and a position angle of 97.7 degrees. ISOCAM observations reveal GY 51 to be a flat-spectrum source (Bontemps et al. 2001), however its dereddened spectral index suggests a Class II YSO (Wiling et al. 2001). GY 51 is also a known radio source (LFAM 9; Leous et al. 1991).

WL 2 — WL 2 was discovered by Wilking & Lada (1983) and was subsequently found to be binary by Barsony et al. (1989). Barsony, Ressler, & Marsh (2003) find a spectral index of +0.21 for the primary, and -0.46 for the secondary, making this a flat-spectrum and Class II pairing. WL 2 has been detected at 1.3 mm (André & Montmerle 1994) and is a Chandra x-ray source (Imanishi, Koyama, & Tsuboi 2001).

IRS 37 — IRS 37 (also known as GY 244) forms a wide ($\sim 8''.5$) binary with WL 5 (= IRS 38), and is part of an asterism which also includes WL 3 and WL 4. Both objects were discovered in IRAS and near-infrared observations of WLY and Wilking & Lada (1983) respectively. Barsony et al. (1989) subsequently found the near-infrared counterpart to IRS 37. The exact spectral classification of IRS 37 has been the subject of some debate. WLY cite IRS 37 to be a Class I YSO, a classification supported by Bontemps et al. (2001), while André & Montmerle (1994) assign a Class II designation. WL 5, however, is considered to be an extinguished Class III YSO (André & Montmerle 1994, and references therein; Bontemps et al. 2001). Indeed, it is one of the most heavily extinguished infrared sources in the ρ Oph cloud core (Andre et al. 1992). As was the case with IRS 48/IRS 50, IRS 37 and WL 5 have very different spectral types of M4 (Luhman & Rieke 1999) and F7 (Greene & Meyer 1995) respectively. Both objects are known x-ray flare sources, however the flares observed in IRS 37 are significantly stronger (Imanishi, Koyama, & Tsuboi 2001).

EC 129 — EC 129 appears to be the brightest component of a wide binary system, although the physical separation (1930 AU) is almost at our imposed upper limit for a bound system. First detected by Eiroa & Casali (1992), EC 129 exhibits $3.08\mu\text{m}$ H₂O-ice absorption ($\tau = 0.08$) and has an extinction corrected luminosity of $L = 3.29 L_{\odot}$. Casali & Eiroa (1996) found that its $2\mu\text{m}$ $\delta v = 2$ CO bands were in absorption with a CO index of 2.4. EC 129 may be associated with the IRAS source PS 2 identified in Hurt & Barsony (1996). This source exhibits polarization consistent with scattering in the bipolar/cometary nebula associated with the object (Sogawa et al. 1997). Testi & Sargent (1998) detected 3 mm continuum emission from EC 129, and ISO-LWS spectra have identified EC 129 as a point source of $\sim 5''$ size at a dust temperature of $T_d \simeq 24$ K (Larsson et al. 2000).

HB 1 — HB 1 was identified as an IRAS source by Hurt & Barsony (1996), and is coincident with a 3 mm continuum source detected by Testi & Sargent (1998). Kaas (1999) identified a near-infrared source associated with HB 1, which we have subsequently found to be binary (separation = $1''.46$; P.A. = 29°) in the present study.

GCNM 53 — Identified in a deep optical and infrared imaging survey by Giovannetti et al. (1998), we find GCNM 53 to be a wide binary with a separation of $4''.31$ at a position angle of 159° .

5. Summary and Conclusions

- We have obtained new near-IR observations of 76 Class I/flat-spectrum objects in the Perseus, Taurus, Chamaeleon I and II, ρ Ophiuchi, and Serpens dark clouds. The observations presented here are part of a larger systematic infrared multiplicity survey of self-embedded objects in the nearest dark clouds.
- When combined with our previously published Class I/flat-spectrum multiplicity survey, we find a *restricted* CSF of 14/79 ($18\% \pm 4\%$) over a separation range of $\sim 300 - 2000$ AU and $\Delta K \leq 4$ magnitudes. This is consistent with the restricted CSFs derived for T Tauri stars in each of the Taurus, ρ Ophiuchi, and Chamaeleon star-forming regions over a similar separation range, as well as the combined restricted CSF ($19\% \pm 3\%$) for these regions. In contrast, the CSF for solar-type, and lower mass M dwarf, main-sequence stars in the solar neighborhood in this separation range ($11\% \pm 3\%$) is approximately one-half that of our sample.
- Accounting for differences in spatial resolution between the present study and that of Reipurth (2000), we find the restricted CSFs for our Class I/flat-spectrum sample and young (ages $\leq 10^5$ yr) stellar objects driving Herbig-Haro flows to be statistically identical. Furthermore, in a millimeter survey of young embedded objects, Looney, Mundy, & Welch (2000) found that all of the Class 0 and Class I objects surveyed were members of small groups or binary systems. When compared with previously published results, our survey suggests that a significant number of binary/multiple objects may remain to be discovered at smaller separations among our Class I/flat-spectrum YSOs and/or most of the evolution of binary/multiple systems occurs during the Class 0 phase of early stellar evolution.

We thank the referee for providing helpful suggestions that improved the manuscript. We thank the CTIO and IRTF staff for their outstanding support in making our observations possible. We also wish to thank Amanda Kaas for providing near-IR data for Class I/flat-spectrum sources in Serpens in advance of publication. K. E. H. gratefully acknowledges support from a National Research Council Research Associateship Award. T. P. G. acknowledges grant support from the NASA Origins of Solar Systems Program, NASA RTOP 344-37-22-11. M. B. gratefully acknowledges NSF grant AST-0206146 which made her contributions to this work possible. Additional support for this work was provided by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration through Chandra Award Number AR1-2005A and AR1-2005B issued by the Chandra X-Ray Observatory Center, which is operated by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory for and on behalf of NASA under Contract NAS8-39073. S. W. S. acknowledges support through NSF grant AST 99-87266.

REFERENCES

- Abt, H. A. & Levy, S. 1976, *ApJS*, 30, 273
- Allen, L. E., Myers, P. C., Di Francesco, J., Mathieu, R., Chen, H., & Young, E. 2002, *ApJ*, 566, 993
- André, P., & Montmerle, T. 1994, *ApJ*, 420, 837
- André, P., Deeney, B. D., Phillips, R. B., & Lestrade, J. -F. 1992, *ApJ*, 401, 667
- Atwood, B., Byard, P., Depoy, D. L., Frogel, J., & O’Brien, T. 1992, *Progress in Telescope and Instrumentation Technologies*, 693
- Barsony, M., Burton, M. G., Russell, A. P. G., Carlstrom, J. E., & Garden, R. 1989, *ApJ*, 346, L93
- Barsony, M., Koresko, C., & Matthews, K. 2003, *ApJ*, 591, 1064
- Barsony, M., Ressler, M. E., & Marsh, K. 2003, in preparation
- Beck, T. L., Simon, M., & Close, L. M. 2003, *ApJ*, 583, 358
- Bontemps, S., André, P., Kaas, A. A., Nordh, L., Olofsson, G., Hultgren, M., Abergel, A., Blommaert, J., Boulanger, F., Burgdorf, M., Cesarsky, C. J., Cesarsky, D., Copet, E., Davies, J., Falgarone, E., Lagache, G., Montmerle, T., Péroult, M., Persi, P., Prusti, T., Puget, J. L., & Sibille, F. 2001, *A&A*, 372, 173
- Bontemps, S., André, P., Terebey, S., & Cabrit, S. 1996, *A&A*, 311, 858
- Carkner, L., Kozak, J. A., & Feigelson, E. D. 1998, *AJ*, 116, 1933
- Carpenter, J. M., Hillenbrand, L. A., Skrutskie, M. F., & Meyer, M. R. 2002, *AJ*, 124, 1001
- Casanova, S., Montmerle, T., Feigelson, E. D., & André, P. 1995, *ApJ*, 439, 752
- Chelli, A., Zinnecker, H., Carrasco, L., Cruz-Gonzalez, I., & Perrier, C. 1988, *A&A*, 207, 46
- Clark, F. O. 1991, *ApJS*, 75, 611
- de Lara, E., Chavarria-K. C., & Lopez-Molina, G. 1991, *A&A*, 243, 139
- Depoy, D. L., Atwood, B., Byard, P. L., Frogel, J., & O’Brien, T. P. 1993, *Proc. SPIE*, 1946, 667
- Duchêne, G. 1999, *A&A*, 341, 547
- Duquennoy, A., & Mayor, M. 1991, *A&A*, 248, 484
- Eiroa, C., & Casali, M. M. 1992, *A&A*, 262, 468
- Elias, J. H., Frogel, J. A., Matthews, K., & Neugebauer, G. 1982, *AJ*, 87, 102

- Feigelson, E. D., & Kriss, G. A. 1989, *ApJ*, 338, 262
- Fischer, D. A., & Marcy, G. W. 1992, *ApJ*, 396, 798
- Ghez, A. M., McCarthy, D. W., Patience, J., & Beck, T. 1997, *ApJ*, 481, 378
- Ghez, A. M., Neugebauer, G., & Matthews, K. 1993, *AJ*, 106, 2005
- Giovannetti, P., Caux, E., Nadeau, D., & Monin, J. -L. 1998, *A&A*, 330, 990
- Glass, I. 1979, *MNRAS*, 187, 305
- Greene, T. P., & Meyer, M. R. 1995, *ApJ*, 450, 233
- Greene, T. P., Wilking, B. A., André, P., Young, E. T., & Lada, C. J. 1994, *ApJ*, 434, 614
- Gürtler, J., Schreyer, K., Henning, Th., Lemke, D., & Pfau, W. 1999, *A&A*, 346, 205
- Haisch, Jr., K. E., Barsony, M., Greene, T. P., & Ressler, M. 2002, *AJ*, 124, 2841
- Hartigan, P., & Raymond, J. 1993, *ApJ*, 409, 705
- Herbig, G. H. 1998, *ApJ*, 497, 736
- Hurt, R. L. & Barsony, M. 1996, *ApJ*, 460, L45
- Imanishi, K., Koyama, K., & Tsuboi, Y. 2001, *ApJ*, 557, 747
- Kaas, A. A. 2001, private communication
- Kaas, A. A. 1999, *AJ*, 118, 558
- Kenyon, S. J., & Hartmann, L. 1995, *ApJS*, 101, 117
- Knude, J. & Hog, E. 1998, *A&A*, 338, 897
- Koresko, C.D., Herbst, T. M., & Leinert, Ch. 1997, *ApJ*, 480, 741
- Ladd, E. F., Lada, C. J., & Myers, P. C. 1993, *ApJ*, 410, 168
- Larsson, B., Liseau, R., Men'shchikov, A. B., Olofsson, G., Caux, E., Ceccarelli, C., Lorenzetti, D., Molinari, S., Nisini, B., Nordh, L., Saraceno, P., Sibille, F., Spinoglio, L., & White, G. J. 2000, *A&A*, 363, 253
- Lehtinen, K., Haikala, L. K., Mattila, K., & Lemke, D. 2001, *A&A*, 367, 311
- Leinert, C., Zinnecker, H., Weitzel, N., Christou, J., Ridgway, S. T., Jameson, R., Haas, M., & Lenzen, R. 1993, *A&A*, 278, 129
- Leous, J. A., Feigelson, E. D., André, P., & Montmerle, T. 1991, *ApJ*, 379, 683

- Looney, L. W., Mundy, L. G., & Welch, W. J. 2000, *ApJ*, 529, 477
- Luhman, K. L., & Rieke, G. H. 1999, *ApJ*, 525, 440
- Magnier, E. A., Volp, A. W., Laan, K., van den Ancker, M. E., & Waters, L. B. F. M. 1999, *A&A*, 352, 228
- Mathieu, R. D. 1994, *ARA&A*, 32, 465
- Mattila, K., Liljeström, T., & Toriseva, M. 1989, in *Low Mass Star Formation and Pre-Main Sequence Objects*, ed. B. Reipurth, *ESO Conf. Proc.*, 33, 153
- McCaughrean, M. J., & Stauffer, J. R. 1994, *AJ*, 108, 1382
- Persi, P., Marenzi, A. R., Gómez, M., & Olofsson, G. 2001, *A&A*, 376, 907
- Persi, P., Marenzi, A. R., Olofsson, G., Kaas, A. A., Nordh, L., Hultgren, M., Abergel, A., André, P., Bontemps, S., Boulanger, F., Burggdorf, M., Casali, M. M., Cesarsky, C. J., Copet, E., Davies, J., Falgarone, E., Montmerle, T., Perault, M., Prusti, T., Puget, J. L., & Sibille, F. 2000, *A&A*, 357, 219
- Persson, S. E., Murphy, D. C., Krzeminski, W., Roth, M., & Rieke, M. J. 1998, *AJ*, 116, 2475
- Petr, M. G., Coude Du Foresto, V., Beckwith, S. V. W., Richichi, A., & McCaughrean, M. J. 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 825
- Prosser, C. F., Stauffer, J. R., Hartmann, L., Soderblom, D. R., Jones, B. F., Werner, M. W., & McCaughrean, M. J. 1994, *ApJ*, 421, 517
- Prusti, T., Whittet, D. C. B., & Wesselius, P. R. 1992, *MNRAS*, 254, 361
- Prusti, T., Clark, F. O., Whittet, D. C. B., Laureijs, R. J., & Zhang, C. Y. 1991, *MNRAS*, 251, 303
- Raga, A. C., & Kofman, L. 1992, *ApJ*, 386, 222
- Rayner, J. T., Shure, M. A., Toomey, D. W., Onaka, P. M., Denault, A. J., Stahlberger, W. E., Watanabe, D., Criez, K., Robertson, L., Cook, D., & Kidger, M. J. 1993, *Proc. SPIE*, 1946, 490
- Reipurth, B. 2000, *AJ*, 120, 3177
- Reipurth, B., & Zinnecker, H. 1993, *A&A*, 278, 81
- Schwartz, R. D., Jones, B. F., & Sirk, M. 1984, *AJ*, 89, 1735
- Schwartz, R. D. 1977, *ApJS*, 35, 161

- Shure, M., Toomey, D. W., Rayner, J., Onaka, P., Denault, A., Stahlberger, W., Watanabe, D., Criez, K., Robertson, L., & Cook, D. 1994, *Exp. Astr.*, 3, 239
- Simon, M., Close, L. M., & Beck, T. L. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 1375
- Simon, M., Ghez, A. M., Leinert, Ch., Cassar, L., Chen, W. P., Howell, R. R., Jameson, R. F., Matthews, K., Neugebauer, G., & Richichi, A. 1995, *ApJ*, 443, 625
- Sogawa, H., Tamura, M., Gatley, I., & Merrill, K. M. 1997, *AJ*, 113, 1057
- Strom, K. M., Kepner, J., & Strom, S. E. 1995, *ApJ*, 438, 813
- Whittet, D. C. B., Kirrane, T. M., Kilkenney, D., Oates, A. P., Watson, F. G., & King, D. J., 1987, *MNRAS*, 224, 497
- Whittet, D. C. B., Prusti, T., Franco, G. A. P., Gerakines, P. A., Kilkenney, D., Larson, K. A., & Wesselius, P. R. 1997, *A&A*, 327, 1194
- Wilking, B. A., Bontemps, S., Schuler, R. E., Greene, T. P., & André, P. 2001, *ApJ*, 551, 357
- Wilking, B. A., Lada, C. J., & Young, E. T. 1989, *ApJ*, 340, 823
- Wilking, B. A., & Lada, C. J. 1983, *ApJ*, 274, 698

Table 1. Companion Star Fraction for Each Region

Region	Separation (AU) ^a	# Observed ^b	# Binary/Multiple ^c	CSF (%)
Perseus	320	9	1	11% $\pm$ 10%
Taurus	140	11	0	0% $\pm$ 0%
Chamaeleon I & II	100	17	3	18% $\pm$ 9%
Serpens	310	19	6	32% $\pm$ 11%
Rho Oph	125	37	9	27% $\pm$ 7%

^aDenotes the minimum separation to which our observations are sensitive.

^bNumber of sources observed in each region.

^cNumber of sources found to be binary/multiple.

Table 2. Separations and Position Angles for the Binary/Multiple Sources

Source	Region	Separation (")	Separation (AU)	Position Angle (degrees) ^a
03260+3111	Perseus	3.62	1160	47.9
ChaI T33B	Chamaeleon I	2.38	380	285.2
Ced110 IRS6	Chamaeleon I	1.95	310	95.6
ChaI T14a	Chamaeleon I	2.35	375	51.9
IRS 48	ρ Oph	15.13	1890	121.1
IRS 54	ρ Oph	7.25	905	324.0
GY 51	ρ Oph	1.16	145	67.5
Third source		5.66	710	97.7
WL 2	ρ Oph	4.15	520	343.2
IRS 37	ρ Oph	8.55 ^b	1070	65.8 ^b
IRS 43/GY 263	ρ Oph	6.99	875	322.0
WL 1	ρ Oph	0.82	103	321.2
GY 23/GY 21	ρ Oph	10.47	1310	322.6
L1689 SNO2	ρ Oph	2.92	365	240.3
SVS 20	Serpens	1.51	468	9.9
EC 95/EC 92	Serpens	5.03	1560	352.1
EC 129	Serpens	6.22	1930	323.4
HB 1	Serpens	1.46	450	28.9
GCNM 53	Serpens	4.31	1340	159.4

^aMeasured with respect to the brightest source at K -band

^bIRS 37 forms a binary with WL 5. Separation and Position Angle are measured with respect to IRS 37, the Class I YSO.

Table 3. Positions and $JHKL$ Magnitudes and Colors for Multiple Sources

Source	RA(J2000)	Dec(J2000)	J	H	K	L ^a	(J-H)	(H-K)	(K-L) ^a
03260+3111	03 29 10.40	+31 21 58.0	9.36	8.08	7.29	6.75	1.28	0.79	0.54
			13.61	12.07	11.04	10.22	1.54	1.03	0.82
ChaI T33B	11 08 15.69	-77 33 47.1	9.32	8.10	6.93		1.22	1.17	
			9.98	9.16	8.85		0.82	0.31	
Ced110 IRS6	11 07 09.80	-77 23 04.4	>20.00	15.18	10.86		>4.82	4.32	
			>20.00	17.57	12.86		>2.43	4.71	
ChaI T14a	11 04 24.32	-77 18 07.2	16.42	14.32	12.54		2.10	1.78	
			18.43	15.66	13.85		2.77	1.81	
IRS 48	16 27 37.20	-24 30 34.0	10.40	8.72	7.71	6.12	1.68	1.01	1.59
IRS 50	16 27 38.10	-24 30 40.0	12.54	10.94	9.92	9.24	1.60	1.02	0.68
IRS 54	16 27 51.70	-24 31 46.0	16.38	12.22	10.15	7.74	4.16	2.07	2.41
			>20.00	16.13	14.29	13.14	>3.87	1.84	1.15
GY 51	16 26 30.49	-24 22 59.0	16.41	12.22	10.16	8.16	4.19	2.06	2.00
			16.98	12.99	11.09	9.42	3.99	1.90	1.67
			>20.00	17.27	15.51	>13.50	>2.73	1.76	<2.01
WL 2	16 26 48.56	-24 28 40.4	19.26	13.95	11.15	9.24	5.31	2.80	2.80
			20.07	15.15	12.42	10.60	4.92	2.73	1.82
IRS 37	16 27 17.54	-24 28 56.5	19.22	14.46	10.94	8.54	4.76	3.52	2.40
WL 5	16 27 18.00	-24 28 55.0	>20.50	15.03	10.21	7.94	>5.47	4.82	2.27
EC129 ^b	18 30 02.80	+01 12 28.0			10.07 15.88				
HB 1	18 29 59.50	+01 11 59.0	>20.00	>19.00	15.67	10.89	>1.00	>3.53	4.78
			>20.00	>19.00	16.98	11.77	>1.00	>2.22	5.21
GCNM 53	18 29 52.90	+01 14 56.0	>20.00	>19.00	16.75	12.95	>1.00	>2.45	3.80
			>20.00	>19.00	18.22	>13.50	>1.00	>0.98	<4.72

^aL-band magnitudes, and hence (K – L) colors, not available for Chamaeleon sources.

^bEC 129 is a binary source, however no J , H , or L observations were taken since the binarity of this source could not be determined prior to the reduction of the data.

Table 4. Positions and K Magnitudes for Single Perseus Sources

Source	RA(J2000)	Dec(J2000)	K Mag.
03382+3145	03 41 22.70	+31 54 46.0	8.22
03259+3105	03 29 03.70	+31 15 52.0	8.53
03262+3114	03 29 20.40	+31 24 47.0	8.56
03380+3135	03 41 09.10	+31 44 38.0	8.63
03220+3035	03 25 09.20	+30 46 21.0	10.21
03254+3050	03 28 35.10	+31 00 51.0	10.28
03445+3242	03 47 41.60	+32 51 43.5	11.41
03439+3233	03 47 05.00	+32 43 09.0	12.73

Table 5. Positions and K Magnitudes for Single Taurus Sources

Source	RA(J2000)	Dec(J2000)	K Mag.
Haro 6-13	04 32 15.61	+24 29 02.3	7.77
GV Tau B	04 29 23.61	+24 34 06.8	7.86
Haro 6-28	04 35 55.87	+22 54 35.5	9.60
04489+3042	04 52 06.90	+30 47 17.0	9.98
04016+2610	04 04 42.85	+26 18 56.3	10.23
04108+2803	04 13 52.90	+28 11 23.0	10.25
04361+2547	04 39 13.87	+25 53 20.6	10.32
04365+2535	04 39 35.01	+25 41 45.5	10.80
04295+2251	04 32 32.10	+22 57 30.0	11.01
04264+2433	04 29 30.30	+24 39 54.0	11.60

Table 6. Positions and K Magnitudes for Single Chamaeleon Sources

Source	RA(J2000)	Dec(J2000)	K Mag.
ChaI T32	11 08 04.61	-77 39 16.9	6.13
ChaI T44	11 10 01.35	-76 34 55.8	6.43
ChaI T41	11 09 50.39	-76 36 47.6	6.99
ChaI T42	11 09 54 66	-76 34 23.7	7.02
ChaI T29	11 07 59.25	-77 38 43.9	7.19
ISO-ChaI 26	11 08 04.00	-77 38 42.0	8.25
ChaI C1-6	11 09 23.30	-76 34 36.2	8.43
ChaI C9-2	11 08 37.37	-77 43 53.5	8.62
ChaII 8	12 53 42.88	-77 15 05.7	8.76
ChaI T47	11 10 50.78	-77 17 50.6	8.77
ISO-ChaI 97	11 07 18.30	-77 23 13.0	11.20
ISO-ChaI 225	11 09 55.00	-76 31 12.0	12.38
ISO-ChaI 138	11 08 19.20	-77 30 41.0	12.90
ISO-ChaI 86	11 06 57.20	-77 22 51.0	13.12

Table 7. Positions and K Magnitudes for Single ρ Oph Sources

Source	RA(J2000)	Dec(J2000)	K Mag.
Elias 29	16 27 09.43	-24 37 18.5	7.54
IRS 42	16 27 21.45	-24 41 42.8	8.56
GSS 30/IRS 1	16 26 21.50	-24 23 07.0	9.03
VSSG 18	16 27 28.44	-24 27 21.9	9.20
GSS 26	16 26 10.28	-24 20 56.6	9.38
IRS 34	16 27 15.48	-24 26 40.6	10.26
WL 17	16 27 06.79	-24 38 14.6	10.31
WL 12	16 26 44.30	-24 34 47.5	10.43
IRS 46	16 27 29.70	-24 39 16.0	10.57
WL 6	16 27 21.83	-24 29 53.2	10.77
WL 3	16 27 19.30	-24 28 45.0	11.50
CRBR 15	16 26 19.30	-24 24 16.0	11.73
GY 312	16 27 38.91	-24 40 20.1	11.94
CRBR 12	16 26 17.30	-24 23 49.0	12.08
GY 344	16 27 45.81	-24 44 53.7	12.33
IRS 33	16 27 14.60	-24 26 55.0	12.34
GY 245	16 27 18.50	-24 39 15.0	12.54
CRBR 85	16 27 24.68	-24 41 03.7	14.45
GY 91	16 26 40.60	-24 27 16.0	15.76
WL 22	16 26 59.30	-24 35 01.0	17.58
GY 197	16 27 05.40	-24 36 31.0	18.33

Table 8. Positions and K Magnitudes for Single Serpens Sources

Source	RA(J2000)	Dec(J2000)	K Mag.
EC 94	18 29 57.80	+01 12 37.0	11.67
EC 73	18 29 55.13	+01 13 19.2	12.20
EC 38	18 29 49.50	+01 17 07.0	12.42
EC 125	18 30 02.10	+01 14 00.0	12.90
EC 91	18 29 57.80	+01 12 28.0	13.67
EC 80	18 29 56.60	+01 12 40.0	14.92
EC 121	18 30 01.10	+01 13 26.0	15.44
EC 40	18 29 49.70	+01 14 57.0	15.98
EC 37	18 29 49.10	+01 16 32.0	16.01
EC 28	18 29 47.00	+01 16 26.0	16.47
DEOS	18 29 49.30	+01 16 19.0	16.82